File No. 18 (9)-05

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

SECRETARIAT

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Police)

NOTES.

File No. 78-

FILE HEADING.

Grant of Rewards to ~~Sivan Reuben & others~~ Police Officers.

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

No. 1998-224 Dated 13-10-24 Received 14-10-24

Diary No. 9814	Enclos. ~~One~~ Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends the g. of Rs 30 to Inspector Rs 15 Rs 20 each to two other informants for good ser dered by them in detecting the culprits committe the Appellate Murder Case within the limits o Kolar Gold Fields.

NOTES AND ORDE

11-11 Subd forwarded with a draft order sanctio rewards. The amount exceeds the Inspr Generals po Satchin & hence the reference to Govt.

M.S. Chandrasekharaiya

16/11

~~Submitted to the Secretary~~

~~Secretary to Government~~

NOTES—First sheet.

17-11

Not understand the propriety

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Giving money rewards to Police Offrs for the work done in the Execution of their duty. The reward proposed in the case of the informants may perhaps be sanctioned

(B K Venkata Rao Inspr)

16-10-1904

Govt may consider to be recommended whether the recommendations of Govt-servants or not. It is made in the Police Manual to encourage Police officers & encouragement when recommendations are made by Govt-servants, draft may be referred.

Remarks

19/10/04

2574 = 20/10/04

Memo of Govt Govt may consider the recommendations to Govt

AIGGPR—10,000—21.8.01

Serial No.

to justify the grant of a reward the service must be something very special & must be described in detail. Simply because a Police officer's labours are rewarded with success detection he should not be made the recipient of a reward which are expected of every Police officer intelligent & prompt action do not by themselves serve to justify the grant of a reward & that in no case was the proposed reward to be considered as a matter of course

P.N.K
8.XI.04

To D/Commissioner
9/10/11/04

Subd.

The draft which was put up by the office has been initialled by the Dewan. I have put up an alternative draft embodying the remarks referred to above & instructions are solicited as to which should be issued.

The alternative draft-ma is in order, I think.
12-11-04 RK
12-11-04

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

A fresh draft may be put up

Serial No.

As per Dewan Saheb's order is submitted for approval.

15.11.1904

Dr 2747/16.11.04

Draft order put up by the Office was approved by Dewan with slight alteration.

2. To the Inspector General of Police in Mysore + the Comptroller, Mysore govt. Govt. Proc. No. J 1326-7 - Pol. 478-2 dated the 22nd November 1904.

Sanctions the grant of rewards as recommended with the observation that intelligent + prompt action ~~above~~ which is expected of every police officer do not by themselves seem to justify the grant of a reward but that ~~to justify such grant~~ services rendered by him must be something very special and must be described in

"Serial No- 1

No: 1998/224.

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, 13th October 1904.

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

B. K. Venkatavardaiengar Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Departments.

e the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No: 154 dated 14th ultimo, from the District Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields Circle, recommending the grant of rewards to Inspector Simon Reuben and two other informants for their good services in the Ajjipalli murder case within the limits of the Kolar Gold Fields.

2. This was an important case which ended in conviction. Inspector Reuben, Head Constable Krishnasamy Iyengar and 2nd class constable Munisami worked intelligently and took prompt action for the arrest of the accused who had absconded.

3. The services of Head Constable Krishnasami Iyengar and 2nd class constable Munisami have been recognised by confirming the former and promoting the latter.

4. The District Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, commends the services of Inspector Reuben who investigated the case from the commencement and of the two Koracher

1904-05.

From, Serial No: 1.

The Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt: of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

in the Genel: & Rev: Departments

Dated.

Despatched. 13 October 1904.

Received.

Heneral No: 1998

No: 224

Enclosure No:

One

Recommending the gra
of rewards to Inspector
Reuben and two Idovacher.

informants who gave information regarding the whereabouts of the accused and recommends that money rewards of ₹50/- to the Inspector and ₹20/- to each of the informants may be given.

5. Under the circumstances I beg to recommend that a reward of ₹30/- to Inspector Reuben and ₹15/- to each of the Korcher informants noted in the margin may be sanctioned.

ovindoo.
achhiga.

6. I request that you will be so good as to move Government to sanction the rewards, as they are above my powers of sanction.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J.C. Carr

Inspector General of Police.

Copied. R.L.

Compd. CR

Enclosure

Here print the enclosure

1904-05.

From P. B. Thomas Esquire,
District Superintendent of Police
Kolar Gold Fields.

To,

To F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore,
thro' the Deputy Commissioner.

Dated. 24th.
Despatched. 26th September 04
Received.
General No: 154
No:

Enclosure No:

Memo No: 1055. Dated 27-9-04.

Forwarded,
(Sd.) K.P.Puttanna Chettey.
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a report of the B. Division Inspector and a reward roll I have the honor to request that the rewards recommended by me may be sanctioned.

2. The case was not an easy one. I visited the spot immediately and found that the accused had absconded in the middle of the night immediately after the commission of the offence. There was nothing whatever to show how, or in what direction he had absconded. Local enquiries proved of no avail except to reveal the fact that the accused was a native of the Salem District. It was not till nearly two

months after the commission of the offence that the accused was arrested in Salem by Head Constable Krishnasamy Iyengar with the aid of the two informants mentioned in the reward roll.

3 Head Constable Krishnasamy Iyeangar has been rewarded by promotion to the rank of Head Constable. He worked remarkably well, as the arrest was a matter of great difficulty and the accused was fully aware that he was being hunted down.

4 The work of the two informants was very good and they must be suitably rewarded. They are both Korachers, and without their help the arrest would have beem absolutely impossible.

5. Inspector Mr. Reuben worked very well indeed - without his constant supervision, communication with the Terupatoor Inspector and person keeping up to the mark of every body concerned, the arrest would never have been made. He had besides, all the work connected with the preliminary investigation and the prosecution of the case to a successful issue in the Courts.

I have &c.

(Signed.) P. B. Thomas.

District Superintendent of Police,

Kolar Gold Fields.

Inspector General of Police.

Press No 1055 d - 29-5-

Forwarded

Sd. T. K. P. Krishna Iyer

G.R.S. 28]

Police

File No. 78

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 6.1

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

, the 22/11/04 190

te of issue 22/11/04

gned by Asst. S. K. Venkat-
Varadarajeyar

READ.—

Letter No. 1998/226 dated 1
13th October 1904, from the Inspec
General of Police in Mysore
recommending the grant of
reward of Rs. 30 to Inspect
Srinivasa Reuben and of Rs. 15 t

ORDER THEREON.—No.

each of the two Kurchan in
-formants for their good ser
-vices in connection with t
Ajjipalli Murder Case with
the limits of the Kolar Gol
fields. Police

Code

over

SUBJECT

Under Secretary — IV* Y. 1-020 / 22/11/04
Police 48-2

The Inspector General's
recommendation is sanctioned
with the observation that to justify the grant of a

16/11/04 Secretary

2788
Received
8. XI. 04
16

The Dy. Inspector General of Police in Mysore
& Comptroller, Mysore Govt

16/11/04

reward to Police officers
rendered by them
it must be something
very special and
must be described in detail
Simply because a
Police officer has known
with success
and detection he should not
be made to expect a reward.
Anticipated and prompt
action which are expected
of every Police officer, do
not by themselves seem to
justify the grant of
reward —

Sd, B K Nimbal. Dy. Inspr. General of Police

Secretary

8/11

Copd: T.S.R. 21-11-04.

Examd: M.V. 21-11-04

9. XI. 1904

21/11/04